

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M IERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,624	06/25/2003	Shawn Oberst	MSFT120575	5087
26389 7590 04/24/2007 CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			EXAMINER	
			CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/24/2007 P.		ER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/606,624	OBERST, SHAWN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David G. Cervetti	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the correction o	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	:				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/606,624 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 12, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending and have been examined.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objection to the drawings is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-19 under obviousness-type double patenting is withdrawn in view of the Terminal Disclaimer filed 2/12/2007.
- 5. The rejection of claims 13-19 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Terminal Disclaimer

7. The terminal disclaimer filed on 2/12/2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application Number 10/607,370 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Information Disclosure Statement

8. It is noted that no IDS has been filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wall et al. (US Patent 7,080,384, hereinafter Wall).

Regarding claim 1, Wall teaches a networked system for accessing a piece of content, comprising (abstract):

- an object (user Web service) for representing a user having an expressed user access scope (col. 5, lines 1-30); and
- an object (content Web service) for representing a piece of content having an expressed content access scope, the user Web service communicating with the content Web service to access the piece of content (col. 5, lines 31-64) when the expressed user access scope overlaps with the expressed content access scope without using predicate rules, sequencing rules and implication rules (col. 6, lines 1-33, claim 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Wall teaches requesting a discovery framework by an object (user Web service) to access a piece of content represented by an object (content Web service) (col. 5, lines 1-30);

notifying the content Web service by the discovery framework of the access request by the user Web service (col. 6, lines 1-33); and

requesting the discovery framework by the content Web service for an access evaluator Web service to evaluate whether an access scope of the user Web service overlaps with an access scope of the content Web service to grant access to the piece of content (col. 6, lines 1-33), the access scope of the user Web service being conveyed in a first expression independently from a second expression that conveys the access scope of the content Web service without using predicate rules, sequencing rules, and implication rules (col. 5, lines 31-64, col. 6, lines 1-33, claim 1).

Regarding claims 2, 7, and 14, Wall teaches wherein the access scope of the user Web service is expressed in a user access scope sentence containing dimensional extents of a security space (col. 7, lines 15-64).

Regarding claims 3, 8, and 15, Wall teaches wherein the access scope of the content Web service is expressed in a content access scope sentence containing dimensional extents of the security space (col. 7, lines 15-64).

Regarding claim 4, 9, and 16, Wall teaches compiling the user access scope sentence and the content access scope sentence by a sentence compiler Web service into binary sentences, each binary sentence having binary phrases, each binary phrase being a compiled dimensional extent (col. 7, lines 40-52).

Regarding claims 10 and 17, Wall teaches evaluating the binary sentences by the access evaluator service, the act of evaluating including comparing each binary

Art Unit: 2136

phrase of a first binary sentence with each corresponding, binary phrase of a second binary sentence to form a resultant binary sentence (col. 7, lines 40-64).

Regarding claim 5, Wall teaches an evaluator Web service for comparing the accessor sentence, and the content sentence to determine whether to grant access to the user Web service so that the user Web service can access the piece of content (col. 7, lines 15-64).

Regarding claims 11 and 18, Wall teaches granting access to the user Web service if each binary phrase of the resultant binary sentence is greater than zero (col. 6, lines 1-33).

Regarding claims 12 and 19, Wall teaches denying access to, the user Web service if one binary phrase of the resultant binary sentence is equal to zero (col. 6, lines 1-33).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/606,624 Page 6

Art Unit: 2136

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

4,23,07

DGC